

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/693,683	10/24/2003 ,	Gopalakrishna B. Prabhu	007985/CMP/CMP	8666
44257 7590 08/12/2005			EXAMINER	
•	TERSON & SHERIDA	ACKUN, JACOB K		
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/693,683	PRABHU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply boon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fistatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers	`				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exal	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	ie Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No vived in this National Stage			
Attachmen		□	(77.2.446)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ary (PTO-413) I Date			
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sler No(s)/Mail Date	· —	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bajaj et al. Bajaj appears to disclose all of the elements of claim 1, including polishing with an abrasive free article and polishing with a fixed-abrasive article. In the event Bajaj is later deemed not to show polishing with the abrasive free article to remove *bulk dielectric material* it would have been obvious in view of Bajaj to do the same to more accurately process a particular substrate. Bajaj also may not show some elements of the dependent claims such as the claimed removal rate ratio or utilizing abrasive particles in the polishing composition to be used with the fixed-abrasive article. On the other hand it would have been obvious to provide the invention of Bajaj with the missing elements in order to better adapt the process to specific substrates and conditions.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims as amended continue to read on the prior art. For example only, the material being removed by the abrasive free article in Bajaj includes bulk material, as clearly

Application/Control Number: 10/693,683

Art Unit: 3723

taught in the reference. It would also have been obvious to perform any steps not specifically taught, in view of the disclosure of Bajaj.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (571)272-4418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571)272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

J.A.